Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/791,550	LAIBLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HANH V. TRAN	3637
All Participants: Status of Application: Non-Final		
(1) <u>HANH V. TRAN</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. John Eisenhart.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 December 2009	Time: <u>2:10</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 30-31, 34-37		
30-31, 34-37		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
	poord of the substance of the	intonious cinco the intensious
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance		
of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)
(Applicant Applicant's representative Signature - It appropriate)		

Application No. 10/791,550

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed to introduce limitations in claims 31 and 37 into claims 30 and 34, respectively, as well as cancelling claims 31, 35-37 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant did not agree to the proposed amendment to the claims. With that being said, upon further consideration by the examiner, the limitations in claims 31 and 37 are not deemed to be allowable.